

A mobile Magnetic Sensor Unit for the KATRIN Main Spectrometer

A. Osipowicz^{a*}, W. Seller^a, J. Letnev^a, P. Marte^a, A. Müller^a, A. Spengler^a, A. Unru^a

^aUniversity of Applied Sciences, Fulda, Germany

E-mail: Alexander.Osipowicz@et.hs-fulda.de

ABSTRACT: The KARlsruhe TRItium Neutrino experiment (KATRIN) aims to measure the electron neutrino mass with an unprecedented sensitivity of $0.2 \text{ eV}/c^2$, using β decay electrons from tritium decay. For the control of magnetic field in the main spectrometer area of the KATRIN experiment a mobile magnetic sensor unit is constructed and tested at the KATRIN main spectrometer site. The unit moves on inner rails of the support structures of the low field shaping coils which are arranged along the the main spectrometer. The unit propagates on a caterpillar drive and contains an electro motor, battery pack, board electronics, 2 triaxial flux gate sensors and 2 inclination sensors. During operation all relevant data are stored on board and transmitted to the master station after the docking station is reached.

KEYWORDS: Mobile Magnetic Sensor Unit, KATRIN, Spectrometer.

*Corresponding author.

Contents

1. The KATRIN setup	1
2. The Mobile Sensor Unit	2
2.0.1 Mechanical structure	2
2.0.2 Electrical layout	4
2.0.3 The sensor coordinate systems	4
3. The MobS residual magnetic field	5
4. Test measurement at the KATRIN site	6
5. Summary and Outlook	9

1. The KATRIN setup

The Karlsruhe TRitium Neutrino experiment [1] (see Fig.1) is set up at the Karlsruher Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany. It is designed to measure the mass of the electron neutrino in a direct and model-independent way with a sensitivity of $m_\nu = 0.2 \text{ eV}/c^2$ (90% confidence level) from tritium β decay[1]. KATRIN uses a magnetic transport field that connects the source and detector in combination with integrating electrostatic energy filters (MAC-E-spectrometers). Conceptual essentials of the MAC-E spectrometer[2, 3] are the magnetic field gradients in pre - and main-spectrometer that adiabatically convert cyclotron energy E_{cyc} into energy E_p parallel to the magnetic field lines and vice versa.




Figure 1. Schematic view of the KATRIN experiment (total length 70 m) consisting of calibration and monitor rear system, with the windowless gaseous T_2 -source (WGTS), differential pumping (DPS) and cryo-trapping section (SPS), the small pre-spectrometer and the large main spectrometer with the large magnetic coil systems to compensate the earth magnetic field (EMCS) and to shape the magnetic transport flux (LFCS) and lastly the segmented PIN-diode detector.




Figure 2. A CAD bird view (taken from a PartOpt [6] simulation) of the energized KATRIN solenoid chain and LFCS. The analyzing area is situated at the center of the main spectrometer where the shape of the magnetic flux is mainly given by the spectrometer solenoids and the LFCS. In this simulation the extreme magnetic flux lines (191 T cm^2) have been tracked in the horizontal plane with and without the perturbing influence of the earth magnetic field $B_x = 25 \mu\text{T}$ and a small external dipole with $600 \mu\text{T}$ central induction. The perturbed flux lines are indicated.

In the minimal magnetic field (the analyzing field $B_A \approx 3 - 6 \mu\text{T}$ and $d_\Phi = 4.5 \text{ m}$) at the center of the MS, a retarding electric field distribution allows an integral energy analysis of E_p . The shape of the magnetic flux tube in the MS area defines the magnetic resolution, i.e. the amount of residual cyclotron energy E_{cyc} that can not be analyzed and thus strongly influences the resolution function. Systematic error considerations [5] demand a homogeneity of the magnetic field distribution in the analyzing volume of $\Delta(B)/B < 0.04$. Moreover, the alignment of magnetic field lines plays a crucial role in the production of secondary electrons and electronic background either through traps or wall contact (see Fig. 2).

Large coil systems [4] are arranged around the MS for a) global magnetic field compensation, e.g. earth magnetic field (EMCS) and b) fine tuning of the magnetic transport flux with a set of large circular low field coils (LFCS) mounted coaxially with the MS. However, possible influences of residual external dipoles, magnetization in the MS environment by the high field solenoids and/or EMCS, LFCS and the correct orientation of the spectrometer solenoids have to be controlled. Due to the extreme MS vacuum conditions the installation of magnetic sensors inside the MS is not possible.

In this paper we present a mobile sensor unit, designed to move along the inner belts of the LFCS support structure (see Fig.3), close to the outer MS surface, but well inside the EMCS, LFCS current lines. It allows to sample a large number of magnetic field values from large areas of MS surface.

2. The Mobile Sensor Unit

2.0.1 Mechanical structure

To minimize self-magnetization, the skeleton of the mobile sensor unit [8, 9] (MobS)(see Fig. 4) is assembled from aluminum cut parts and consists of a chassis with a drive, a tower and the wing-




Figure 3. View of the main spectrometer tank with the LFCS ring system. Right: The mobile sensor unit with 2 sensors on the inner belt of a LFCS support ring.

shaped sensor board that is attached on top of the tower. The caterpillar drive consisting of 3 acetal resin wheels (and 3 counter wheels per side) bearing a polyurethane toothed belt is chosen to ensure slip free motion on interfaces between the LFCS ring arcs . The tower hosts a brush-less DC motor (maxon motor EC45, 12 V/30 W), gear (maxon motor gear GP 42 C), and rechargeable battery pack (Lead AGM, 1.8 Ah). To avoid currents during the measurement intervals a linear actuator is used as a break shoe that mechanically clamps the motor.




Figure 4. CAD view of the MobS with docking station. The chassis suspension with a caterpillar drive, the tower with motor, gear and battery pack. The electrically shielded control board on top contains board electronics and two triaxial flux gate and 1 dual inclination sensor. The electrical shield is sketched transparently.




Figure 5. CAD view of the docking station (DS) (left) and docking block (right). The block on is attached at the MobSU. The contacts from top: 2 detection contact pads, 2 RS 485 data lines, 2 RS 232 data lines, 2 power supply and 2 charging lines.

The sensor board attached at the top of the tower is equipped with two triaxial custom designed flux gate sensors (see Table 1), a dual axis digital inclinometer (Analog Device ADIS 16209), and two electronic boards. The flux gate sensors are positioned along common axes within $10 \mu\text{m}$ tolerance. As the sensor board is facing inward to the main spectrometer surface, which can bear voltages up to 32 kV, the sensor board is electrically shielded. Mounted at one side of the chassis

suspension is the docking block that detects the docking station (DS) via 2 sliding contacts (see Fig. 5). The DS is permanently attached to the LFCS inner belt. Both docking block and docking station are manufactured from PVC with contacts for data, power and charging lines.

2.0.2 Electrical layout

The basis board (see Fig.6) containing a microcontroller unit (TI MSP 430F5438) reads the flux gate signal via precision operational amplifiers (LINEAR TECHNOLOGY LT6013/LT6014) and analog to digital converters (TI TLC 3574, 14-bit) and controls the actions of the MobS. The AddOn board hosts 6 MB of flash memory, one inclination sensor, USB interface, I²C interface and the motion control module (MCM) (Atmel MCU Atmega 8). The MCM controls the drive chain and the linear actuator (break shoe). It also controls the incremental encoder that gives 2048 impulses per wheel revolution (radius wheel $R = 32,75$ mm) and allows a positional resolution of $50.16 \mu\text{m} \pm 25.8 \mu\text{m}$.

The docking station is designed a) to act as an interface in the data channels and b) as a charging station for the battery pack. This is realized with a dedicated circuit board (HIP) based on a Atmel MCU Atmega 328. The master module (Master) serves as a data collector and provides an interface to the KATRIN database.




Figure 6. Sketch of the electrical layout of sensor board electronics (right circle), docking station electronics (left circle), data and power lines to master module and Fieldpoint to KATRIN slow control (below). The layout is designed to incorporate up to 20 MobS on further LFCS rings.

2.0.3 The sensor coordinate systems

The orientation of the sensor coordinate systems on the MobS is shown in Fig.7. As the MobS is moving along a LFCS ring, the sensor coordinate systems change their position and orientation with respect to the global KATRIN cylindric coordinate system z_g, ϕ_g, r_g . With z_g , the central axis pointing from source to detector, r_g the radial coordinate and ϕ_g the azimuthal coordinate, the MobS will pick up axial, azimuthal and radial magnetic field components. However, the exact




Figure 7. The coordinate systems of the individual sensors that are aligned along a common z -axes, which is parallel to the global z_g -axes. The sensors are labeled according to their orientation with respect to the source (S) and detector (D). The MobS is moving in $x_S, -x_D$ -direction. The inclination sensor axes x_I, z_I are oriented parallel to the x, z -axis respectively.

anchoring of the local coordinate systems into the global system has not been carried out yet as the MobS track is not ideally ring shaped and shows local misalignments.

3. The MobS residual magnetic field

In order to determine possible residual magnetic field components $\vec{B}_{res}$ that are produced by the MobS itself a, difference measurement in an iron free environment was performed. After positioning on a CNC machined Al-turntable, the MobS was oriented horizontally by inclinometer reading. Their tilt sensing system uses gravity as its only stimulus and is specified to have an angular accuracy of 0.1° .




Figure 8. The differences between the magnetic field components measured in anti-parallel directions for the source sensor (left) and detector sensor (right). The resulting mean and sigma is shown as a black line and dashed line respectively.

With the FL3-500 sensor 100 magnetic field samples are taken (conversion (DAC) rate 100 kHz, 64 conversions per sample point), then the table was turned by 180° and the next 100 samples are taken. The resulting differences (see Fig.8) for the magnetic field components are $\vec{B}_{res} = (-0.36 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{T}, 0.37 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{T}, -0.68 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{T})$ for the detector sensor and $\vec{B}_{res} = (-0.11 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{T}, -0.15 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{T}, 0.42 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{T})$ for the source sensor.

4. Test measurement at the KATRIN site

The magnetic field components are measured at 36 equidistant positions (see Fig.9) on the circumference of ring 17 (see Fig.3) at the KATRIN MS site. The positions are accurate within 0.01 m (see Fig.10).




Figure 9. The distribution of the 2*36 sample points along the track. The LFCS ring 17 is centered at the $z = 0$ m position. Due to manufacturing tolerances the radial distance of the sensor positions of $r = 5.873$ m shows variations up to 0.05 m along the arc. The coaxial position of the pinch ($z_p = 12.4575$ m) and detector solenoid ($z_d = 14.0575$ m) is indicated on the global z-axis (dashed line).




Figure 10. The distance between two stops during one cycle according to the step motor data shows a distribution that is caused by varying motor reaction times at different MobS position along the ring.

The samples are taken for 4 successive pinch/detector (see Fig.9) solenoid current settings: a.) central induction 6 T/ 3.6 T, b.) 3 T/ 1.8 T, c.) 1.5 T/ 0.9 T and d.) 0 T/ 0 T allowing a background measurement. The two solenoids are arranged coaxially with the LFCS coil with a central z -distance of $z_p = 12.4575$ m for the pinch and $z_d = 14.0575$ m for the detector solenoid (distance values according to the detector installation position on 1st March 2012). Fig.11 shows the B_x -components for the three successive runs with the 0 T/ 0 T magnet setting. For each setting the MobS has completed 3 cycles. The time for completion of one cycle is about 5 minutes.

After statistics and correction for zero point and internal orthogonality error the values of B_x and B_z of the detector-sensor have been multiplied by -1 for display reasons (see Fig.12).

After subtracting the background field components from the data with energized detector solenoids, the resulting values can be compared to a magnetic field simulation (see Fig.13). The geometric data of pinch and detector solenoid are taken from the manufacturers data sheet.

The experimental data show a variation of up to $3 \mu\text{T}$ along the track for each detector magnet setting, moreover it is obvious that the difference between the simulated and the measured data




Figure 11. The raw data for the B_x -component for the 3 runs with the magnet setting 0 T/0 T (background measurement) from the detector sensor. Each point represents the mean of 64 samples resulting in a small error. The position of the sample points on the arc is also given in "clock"-positions (at the top). In detector direction the Mobs performs a clockwise motion starting at the 7:15 position ending at the 6:55 position.




Figure 12. A compilation of the magnetic field components from the detector sensor (blue crosses) and the source sensor (full dots) for the 6T/3.6T magnet setting. As the detector sensor is closer to the detector it senses higher values for the axial B_z and the radial B_y component. The B_x component readings are very similar, because the detector solenoids do not produce any azimuthal field.

is not constant. Taking the difference between the simulated values and the sample mean for the values given in Fig.13 a decrease can be observed (see Fig.14) which might be explained by magnetization of the construction steel used in the spectrometer hall.




Figure 13. A comparison of the total magnetic field strength with simulated magnetic field values for the source sensor (full circles and dashed line) and detector sensor (crosses and full line). Lower box: the 1.5 T/ 0.9 T, middle box: the 3 T/ 1.6 T and upper box; the 6 T/ 3.6 T magnet setting.




Figure 14. The difference ΔB between simulated magnetic field values and sample mean of the experimental values for the three magnetic field settings. Full dots: source sensor (SS), crosses: detector sensor (DS). The error bars shown refer to the standard deviation of each sample.

5. Summary and Outlook

To control the magnetic field in the KATRIN main spectrometer area a mobile magnetic field sensor unit is presented that moves along the LFCS inner belt and can take magnetic field samples. Such units can be installed at each LFCS ring and allows magnetic field sampling in areas that for safety reasons are only hardly or not at all accessible. The typical cycle time with 36 sampling stops is minutes.

The data presented in this paper might indicate magnetization effects in the vicinity of ring 17 caused by the detector solenoids. For a more detailed analysis of the magnetic field samples it is necessary to anchor the sensor coordinate systems into the global KATRIN coordinate system. This can be achieved by incorporating inclination sensor data and improved geometry data of the individual tracks into the analysis. Currently different types of inclinometers are studied.

After multiple runs, the wheels of the MobS accumulate dust and slip can occur, which might lead to false position reading. Therefore we propose a combination of a toothed belt attached to the track and toothed wheels as a running gear of the MobS.

The magnetic field in the volume of the main spectrometer is free of rotation and therefore analytically connected with the field on the outside surface over the definition of a magnetic scalar Potential $V(x, y, z)$. It fulfills the Laplace equation which can be solved numerically by a finite difference method in which the magnetic surface samples are used as boundary values for computing the magnetic field everywhere inside the main spectrometer [10, 11]. Hence it is advantageous to get large amounts of surface samples and the production of more MobS units that will run on further LFSC rings is under way. With these a more detailed determination of the magnetic field profile and the magnetization effects will be possible.

Table 1. Features of the flux gate sensors that are installed on the MobS. The sensors have also been calibrated by the company [7].

Sensor Type	FLC3-70	FL3-500
Range	$\pm 500 \mu T$	$\pm 1000 \mu T$
Accuracy	$\pm 1\% \pm 0.5 \mu T$	$\pm 0.5\%$
Resolution	$1 V / 35 \mu T$	$1 V / 100 \mu T$
Voltage Supply	30 V	30 V
Orthogonality	$< 1^\circ$	$< 0.5^\circ$

AKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express gratitude to the group for Experimental Techniques of the Institute for Nuclear Physics (IK) at KIT for highly efficient and competent support. Namely Prof. Dr. J. Blümer, Dr. F. Glück and Jan Reich for support and help. Special thanks to the KATRIN detector group from University of Washington for supplying magnetic fields during the measurements. Furthermore, we wish to thank Prof. Dr. E. W. Otten, Mainz University and Prof. Dr. Ch. Weinheimer, Münster University for helpful discussions and support. In addition, we like to thank the University of Applied Sciences, Fulda and the Fachbereich Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik, especially Prof. Dr. U. Rausch, for the enduring support for this work.

This work has been funded by the German Ministry for Education and Research under the Project codes 05A11REA, 05A08RE1.

References

- [1] KATRIN Collaboration, *KATRIN Design Report 2004, Technical Report (Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2004)*, www-ik.fzk.de,
- [2] A. Picard et al., *A solenoid retarding spectrometer with high resolution and transmission for keV electrons*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **B 63** (1992) 345
- [3] V.M. Lobashev, P.E. Spivac, *A method for measuring the anti-electron-neutrino rest mass*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A240** (1985) 305
- [4] A. Osipowicz, F. Glück, *Air coil design at the main spectrometer, KATRIN internal document* <http://fuzzy.fzk.de/bscw/bscw.cgi/d443733/95-TRP-4440-D1-F.Glueck-A.Osipowicz.ppt>
- [5] N. Titov, priv. communication
- [6] The PartOpt project page, <http://www.PartOpt.net>
- [7] Stefan Mayer Instruments, <http://www.stefan-mayer.com>
- [8] A. Unru *Elektrische und mechanische Konzipierung und prototypische Realisierung einer mobilen Sensoreinheit*, Diploma-Thesis, Univ. of Appl. Sciences, Fulda, July 2009
- [9] J. Letnev, *Systemintegration des Magnetfeldsensornetzes*, Master-Thesis, Univ. of Appl. Sciences, Fulda, May 2011
- [10] S. Flachs, A. Osipowicz, A. Unru *Design Document, A wireless magnetic sensor grid for the KATRIN main spectrometer* KATRIN internal document <https://fuzzy.fzk.de/bscw/bscw.cgi/d698744/>
- [11] A. Osipowicz, *A method for the measurement of the magnetic field in the KATRIN main spectrometer*, to be published